2016 Prioritization Options

Date CoC Application Opened: June 29, 2016

CoC Application Due Date to HUD: September 14, 2016

Columbus/Franklin County Annual Renewal Demand (ARD): $11,452,649

2016 HUD Funding Available (may change based on HUD clarifications)

Tier 1	(93% of ARD)	$10,650,963
Tier 2	(7% of ARD plus bonus funding)	$1,374,318
Permanent Housing Bonus (5% of ARD, included in Tier 2):		$572,632
CoC Planning Funding	(not ranked)	$343,579
UFA Funding	(not ranked)	$171,790

Highest scores will be awarded to PSH, RRH and TH programs serving youth. Based on prior year's prioritization and HUD's overall priorities, the following guideline will be used (both Tier 1 and 2):

⟨   Renewal PSH, RRH, and TH for youth
⟨   New PSH through reallocation or bonus for 100% CH
⟨   New RRH through reallocation
⟨   SSO for CPOA
⟨   Renewal HMIS
⟨   All other SSO

Rebuilding Lives Funder Collaborative Process

Scoring process

Renewal projects	Points Available	Description
1st Priority – New or reallocated projects renewing for the first time		
Program Evaluation ranking		HUD emphasizes performance of funded programs. The latest program evaluation available (FY16) ranks each project based on its performance for the period 7/1/2015 – 12/31/2015. Program Evaluation rankings are determined by measuring Households Served, Successful Housing Outcomes, Housing Stability, Occupancy, Recidivism, and annual Program Review and Certification. Participant Eligibility is ensured and enforced via the Unified Supportive Housing System and, therefore, is not incorporated into the Program Evaluation.
High	9	
Medium	5	
Low/Not rated	1	
Usage of HUD grant funds		HUD emphasizes effective utilization of funds. Programs will be required to provide the total grant amount and the amount that was drawn down from HUD for the most recent closed grant cycle.
100% funds used	10	
80-99% funds used	8	
60-79% funds used	5	
40-59% funds used	2	
0-39% funds used	0	

Maximum possible points	19	
Minimum possible points	1	

Timing

⟨ **August 3, 2016:** Draft Applications are due to CSB by 5pm – Each CoC applicant agency must submit one copy of its draft project application(s) electronically to Heather Notter (hnotter@csb.org).

⟨ **August 17, 2016:** Final Applications are due by 5pm – Each CoC applicant agency must submit the final project application(s) <u>via HUD's e-snaps system</u>. Per the FY 2016 NOFA, the CoC must notify all project applicants, no later than fifteen (15) days before the application deadline, of the inclusion of each project application in the CoC Consolidated Application submission.

Guidelines

Follow the naming template "Agency Name or Acronym 2016 Program Name". For example: CHN 2016 Safe Haven.

Follow the instructional guidelines that CSB disseminated, locate your last year's application, and review for applicability of the responses for the questions that are similar.

Ranking process

⟨ Under Tier 1 ranking, first time renewal projects (new or reallocated) will be ranked first.
⟨ Projects will be ranked in descending order, based on the accumulated total points and ranking options.
⟨ If two or more projects receive the same number of points, the ranking will be randomized by project.
⟨ Under Tier 1 ranking, the HMIS project will be ranked last (unless HUD prioritization trumps this option).
⟨ HUD prioritization criteria will govern the ranking positions in any options considered.

Special Projects
⟨ Projects serving families and youth

Option 1 (descending score based, renewals prioritized)
⟨ List all renewal projects (including first time renewals and HMIS project as detailed above) in the order of their scoring for Tier 1 and HUD prioritization, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount
⟨ If there is a tie for the last ranked, renewal, non-special project in Tier 1, the tiebreaker is the amount of the grant. The program that has a grant amount that will have the least impact on the overall continuum of care level funding amount (less funding is risked) will be moved to the first ranking in Tier 2.
⟨ All other projects are listed in Tier 2, in the order of HUD's prioritization
⟨ List reallocation projects in Tier 2 (unless gap permits Tier 1)

Option 1a (descending score based, renewals prioritized) (in FY 2016 SAME as Option 1)
⟨ List all renewal projects (including first time renewals and HMIS project as detailed above) in the order of their scoring for Tier 1 and not considering HUD prioritization, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount
⟨ If there is a tie for the last ranked, renewal, non-special project in Tier 1, the tiebreaker is the amount of the grant. The program that has a grant amount that will have the least impact on the

overall continuum of care level funding amount (less funding is risked) will be moved to the first ranking in Tier 2.

◇ All other projects are listed in Tier 2, in the order of HUD's prioritization
◇ List reallocation projects in Tier 2 (unless gap permits Tier 1)

Option 2 (descending score based, reallocation in Tier 1)

◇ List all renewal projects (including first time renewals and HMIS project as detailed above) in the order of their scoring for Tier 1 and HUD prioritization, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount less the amount allocated for the next bullet
◇ Capture any reallocation project(s) in Tier 1, after the renewal projects
◇ If there is a tie for the last ranked, renewal, non-special project in Tier 1, the tiebreaker is the amount of the grant. The program that has a grant amount that will have the least impact on the overall, continuum of care level funding amount (less funding is risked) will be moved to the first ranking in Tier 2.
◇ All other projects are listed in Tier II, in the order of HUD's prioritization
◇ List reallocation projects in Tier 1

Option 2a (descending score based, reallocation in Tier 1) (in FY 2016 SAME as Option 2)

◇ List all renewal projects (including first time renewals and HMIS project as detailed above) in the order of their scoring for Tier 1 and not considering HUD prioritization, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount less the amount allocated for the next bullet
◇ Capture any reallocation project(s) in Tier 1, after the renewal projects
◇ If there is a tie for the last ranked, renewal, non-special project in Tier 1, the tiebreaker is the amount of the grant. The program that has a grant amount that will have the least impact on the overall, continuum of care level funding amount (less funding is risked) will be moved to the first ranking in Tier 2.
◇ All other projects are listed in Tier II, in the order of HUD's prioritization
◇ List reallocation projects in Tier 1

Option 3 (descending performance based, prioritize any reallocations)

◇ List all renewal projects in the order of their performance (HIGH, MEDIUM, LOW) and HUD prioritization, (including first time renewals and HMIS project as detailed above) in Tier 1, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount less the amount allocated for the next bullet
◇ Capture the reallocation project(s) in Tier 1, after the renewal projects
◇ If there is a tie for the last ranked, renewal, non-special project in Tier 1, the tiebreaker is the amount of the grant. The program that has a grant amount that will have the least impact on the overall, continuum of care level funding amount (less funding is risked) will be moved to the first ranking(s) in Tier 2.
◇ Identify Tier 2 projects, lower performing, based on HUD guidance ("low" performers)
◇ Projects rated as "low" performers based on the FY16 Program Evaluation are listed in Tier 2 in the order of HUD's prioritization (renewal)
◇ If the amount is not sufficient to meet the minimum amount of Tier 2 amount needed for Tier 2 ranking, renewal projects rated as "MEDIUM" will be listed in descending order of their score. If there is a tie for the last ranked, the tiebreaker is the amount of the grant. The program that has a grant amount that will have the least impact on the overall, continuum of care level funding amount (less funding is risked) will be moved last.

Option 4 (program priority based)

◇ List all renewal projects (including first time renewals and HMIS project as detailed above) in the order of their scoring for Tier 1 and HUD prioritization, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount less the amount allocated for the next two bullets

⟨ Identify lower priority projects, based on HUD guidance, and remove from Tier 1
⟨ Capture any reallocation project(s) in Tier 1, after the renewal projects
⟨ If there is a tie for the last ranked, renewal, non-special project in Tier 1, the tiebreaker is the amount of the grant. The program that has a grant amount that will have the least impact on the overall, continuum of care level funding amount (less funding is risked) will be moved to the first ranking(s) in Tier 2.
⟨ The Identified Tier 2 projects, lower priority, will be moved in Tier 2
    o Transitional housing projects, (non-youth serving and non-rapid re-housing) are listed in Tier 2 in order of scoring
    o Other projects are listed in Tier 2 in the order of HUD's prioritization

Option 5 (spread the cuts across all programs) – WILL NOT BE USED IN 2016
⟨ List all renewal projects (include first time renewals and HMIS project as detailed above) in the order of their scoring and HUD prioritization for Tier 1, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount
⟨ Apply the HUD published funding decrease (amount at risk in Tier 2) to all renewal projects, by decreasing funding across the board, by a calculated percentage
⟨ List reallocation project(s) in Tier 2

Option 6 (spread the cuts across all programs that scored low) – WILL NOT BE USED IN 2016
⟨ List all renewal projects (include first time renewals and HMIS project as detailed above) in the order of their scoring and HUD prioritization for Tier 1, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount
⟨ Apply the HUD published funding decrease (amount at risk in Tier 2) to all renewal projects that scored below a certain level (10 points), by decreasing funding across these projects, by a calculated percentage